

From Left To Right To Center - Spiritual Evolution, Big Government Globalism, & The Divided States Of America

The research paper maps out timeless principles found in the Yogic, Buddhist, Zen, and other traditions as compared to what is currently going on in the Divided States Of America. These timeless principles are quintessential laws and are not bound by human opinion. For the purpose of navigating reality and having a joyful and happy existence, they are foundational.

This paper will also map out a number of misconceptions that are rampant not just among the wider population but also among those who count themselves as spiritual adepts, starseeds, lightworkers, intellectual heavyweights, deep thinkers, etc.

Before commencing, it must be said that the media is actually *designed* in such a way that different segments of society are divided up for the purpose of in-fighting. The Left vs Right paradigm came about largely after Libertarian Presidential candidate Ron Paul managed to gain such a large gathering around 2012, and his freedom-based policies are an antithesis to the anti-life policies of the Globalist Socialist Government. The population needed to be divided so the globalists could continue their illegal operations.

Completely nonsensical topics like gender pronouns distract from the globalist campaign so they can continue onwards with chemtrails, military spending, spy operations, global terrorism, GMO food, vaccine-viruses, money printing, water fluoridation, space initiatives, propaganda, and other means of extracting energy from the population. They continue to poison the planet while we bicker.

The media creates false paradigms that divides the population, weakens them, and furthers government control. This is all the media does - it creates events to manipulate people and increase viewings.

The Left

The Left is the side that is furthest away from nature's design. The Left refers loosely to those on the democratic, socialist, liberal spectrum. For the purposes of this paper they will be treated as synonymous, though technically they refer to different belief patterns

and there is not, in reality, a direct overlap. Practically all governments are now on the Left. If a government steals more than 25% of a human's paycheck, that is a socialist government and its power needs to be reduced. But, due to mental manipulation, people are not able to understand this, because the illegal governments have infiltrated their lives so much they are unable to think clearly.

Ordinary people, it must be remembered, base truth and correctness as the average of what they see around them. But justice and truth have no relationship with the statistical averages in an inorganic human society. Just because something is 'normal' or 'average' is no indication of 'just' or 'true' or in the center. In the words of Jiddhu Krishnamurti; *"It is no measure of health to be well adjusted to a profoundly sick society"*.

All the world's greatest minds echoed identical sentiments, indicating that most people in the world are actually insane, but think themselves well because they are in similar company. Teachings from all the Masters and Enlightened Yogis show a definitive conservative streak, obviously minus war, guns, and religionism. The ability to poison the body and mind in the name of 'freedom' is looked down upon as a childish error, and the life destroying Progressive values are condemned.

The government is merely a manager. It cannot create jobs or do anything aside from playing with other people's wealth. All it can do is shift things around. If you hired a manager to run a football team, you would retain the manager if he won the league. If he lost repetitively while getting paid 50% of total revenue, you would obviously fire the manager. Governments the world over are all on the bottom of the league every single year, if winning the league equates to improving the quality of life for its citizenry.

Or if you hired a manager to run one of your departments, you would fire her if she ran it into the ground, which is what is occurring around the globe. What has unfortunately happened is that the human population are collectively dependent on the government in the same way that a craic addict is addicted to drugs. The addict does not understand how his life would be better if he gave up his dirty habit, and he is full of fear of what would fill the void, not believing in his own capabilities.

It is a choice between fear and courage, and a significant amount of the world's population are choosing fear and 'safety', not knowing that being 100% safe ultimately ends up being the riskiest decision of all, especially when the food and water dry up. Your government is not going to prioritize your needs when this happens, and you will witness first hand how much it cares for the 'special' communities.

Identity politics is something the government uses to gain power, money, and elections. Has any government really helped a specific group? We still have world hunger, displaced Tibetans, rampant immigration problems, and grunted native Americans. As for the Vietnam Vets, the Left has not really taken care of them in the slightest. Perhaps this time, 50 years later, will be different? I think not. Nobody is disagreeing that there is injustice in the world. The correct mode of resolution is what's being debated.

Socialism and Liberalism are the two greatest enemies to a unified population and a harmonious planet. This is because socialism is intrinsically parasitical in nature and is not value generating in any way. It is a form of mass theft, operating under the guise, as always, of good intentions. It means that one class is discriminated against in favor of another. But the Leftarians use the word 'discrimination' very selectively, and only when it suits their ends. This is typical ego consciousness.

The counterargument that is used by the Left is that regulations are needed to battle monopolies. This overlooks the ongoing fact that the regulations never work for the corporate monopolies but continue to cripple the business class. Leftarians are not observational in nature and simply try to use bigger government to solve the problem that it never solved last time.

Detached observation is a key Buddhist precept. Very few of the population are truly observational in nature, continually projecting their personal ideals. When they make an error, they do not acknowledge it, but continue with their existing belief system. People are not observing, they are projecting. This is due to the fact that they are *programmed* by the media. Their minds are not their own. They have no independent thought and it can be likened to talking to a computer program.

Leftarians also argue that regulations are needed for products and services, but this is no longer anywhere near as relevant as it was before¹. Online reviews and instant uploads are a far better form of regulation for products, particularly in the hospitality sector. Meanwhile, the government pours chemtrails in the sky, flouride in the water, and blindly fails to do what the socialists say they need the government for; to prevent corporations like Monsanto from manipulating the food; to keep the air and water clean; to eliminate poverty; to prevent individuals from being preyed on by corporations; to stop pharmaceutical companies from releasing toxic products to consumers. The list goes on. The government already does practically nothing to stop large corporations. In fact, it willfully enables them.

¹ Some regulations are necessary, but a mere fraction of what exists. Non tangible commercial/social regulations will also provide far more effectiveness. Some industries do need specific types of effective regulations, with a system in place for removing redundant regulations.

The Left is the side most misaligned with what can be called Universal Truth, Cosmic Intelligence, Nature, the Way, Dao, God, or Providence. Of course, this is also the side that typically does not believe in God, Nature, Cosmic Intelligence, or Providence. Because the Left are completely mentally identified, not recognizing that they exist apart from their thought processes. In order to find out Truth, the Masters throughout the ages have drawn parallels with what happens in nature. The secrets are found in the Stars and the Earth and the Nature Kingdoms, as well as in literature and movies (the Imagination being a direct conduit to superconscious Intelligence and Universal Archetypes that reveal the state of any given society).

The Left and Liberal class ridicules this, calling it 'unscientific', not noting the fact that they themselves are derived from nature and they subconsciously operate on given Jungian archetypes. They don't recognize ancient cultures or traditions. They don't recognize ancient texts. They don't recognize natural law. They only recognize science and the media. Both of these are human created and human controlled, suffering from typical human trappings. In other words, they worship only their own illusions and illogical thought processes.

Due to this, it is more difficult to reach this class as you have to operate within their limited confines of thought and understanding. This is why Jesus used parables and tales all the time, some classes of people not being ready for the plain and simple truth. Jesus spoke out against the monetary and religious corruption, and he was crucified. It's far worse now, and there are mass crucifixions for those who are merely pointing out the truth surrounding the vaccine programs, banking system, political system, war promotion, inequality, etc etc etc.

From a yogic perspective, the origins of Socialism are rooted in *fear* and *insecurity*. Not believing in their own divine powers (which God has bestowed on both the Left and Right equally), they have become dependent on a government to do everything for them. The end result is a lack of resources, which results in more government, which results in even more lack of resources.

And this is disempowering to individuals as people need some measure of difficulty and autonomy to move forward. The Leftarian response is merely to print more money or advance some more social programs. In esoteric traditions this would be analogous to spiritual bypassing, where the underlying root causes are not addressed. Kicking the can, in modern vernacular.

The Left are fundamentally afraid that without government, they would be 'no one'. In fact, the Left typically derive their identity *from* the government, often being healthcare workers, doctors, nurses, police officers, military personnel, etc. They cannot imagine a

society where they would be free. Freedom is the antithesis to socialism, socialism merely being a form of fuedalism and slavery marketed as a fair distribution of resources. At no time in the history of the planet has socialism *actually* resulted in a fair distribution of resources, despite wide scale implementation and much propaganda and ‘good intentions’.

Yet even with a million more failures, it would not make a difference to a programmed mind which is not actually interested in seeing the outcome of its actions to re-examine its existing belief system. The virus keeps going into infinity, and, in true parasitical fashion, continues until every photon of energy from the planetary host is used up.

The Right

The Right is not as damaging as the Left in terms of globalist support. Obviously, military spending has to be completely removed and the right to bodily integrity should be upheld. Religions have always been a control mechanism and nothing more. Aside from these points (and the ethics of the actors themselves, which are deplorable, though this is simply a feature of politics and does not reflect on the values/policies being discussed), the Right is much more holistically aligned in terms of its ideological position, because it is closer to small government and low tax, thus freeing people and increasing individual quality of life.

Conservative values typically upheld by the Right are sorely needed. Family values are certainly a strong attribute. The Globalists detest this above all else; it is a core aim for them to break up the nuclear family and get mothers to give their kids away for state raising or chemical manipulation. This is the purpose of the gender confusion initiative and the nonsensical idea that being a male or a female is a ‘choice’.

Aside from this, deregulation and small government are perfectly aligned with the original US constitution and with natural law. The government was never supposed to get anywhere near this big or invasive. And if it can’t solve problems as big as it is, why should it be increased or maintained? It does not make any real sense. The irony in relation to the gun issue is that they are needed to stave off socialistic governments more than individual criminals.

Most criticisms against the Right are done from very narrow angles. Alternatively, the criticisms are of a personal and base nature. Trump was ridiculed on many occasions because of how he looked and how he spoke. Judging people on how they look and speak as opposed to what they actually do and stand for is strongly indicative of a weak and shallow consciousness. These same people loved

Barack Obama because he looked and spoke well, the fact that he single handedly destroyed the economy with socialized healthcare being overlooked due to surface appearances. US healthcare takes up a whopping 20% of GDP of a huge economy. It's been a complete failure. Tens of thousands are addicted to opiates, it's the most expensive system in the world on a per person basis. The lack of choice in the socialized healthcare system has increased costs dramatically.

In contrast, people support Trump and Putin due to what they stand for; themselves. Trump and Putin were not willing to bend to Deep State/Globalists orders. With regard to Putin, a war was instigated. Trump, meanwhile, was subjected to about 4 years of non stop negative press for completely meaningless affairs with no evidence. The enemy of my enemy is my friend. And, make no mistake, the globalists are everybody's enemy, including the Leftarians who vote for them and carry out their orders.

Conservative values are most certainly needed amidst a world of gender reassignment therapy, media hypnosis, and lack of personal accountability. This is a fact, not an opinion. Mutilating the body is a harmful and dangerous activity with no benefits except those derived from a false sense of self. Of course, the people who take on this dangerous therapy want all the benefits but none of the downsides. Free medical for the inevitable damage that occurs from their decisions. Then correct gender pronouns. They want the whole cake, but they won't take responsibility for any of the calories.

The Liberal media comes along and says that the 'Right' are not being open minded enough to safeguard such individual decisions, which is the opposite of the truth. Neither the Right or the Middle (Libertarians, Sovereigns, One World Citizens) care about what people do to their bodies, as long as they are not stuck with the bill or with a silly gender pronoun. They are objecting to the oppressions of *their* rights, not denying others their rights.

In other words, they couldn't care less, unless you take their rights away, which is basically what Socialism is based around. Stealing from one group, giving to another, and feeling good in the process.

The Center - Libertarianism

Many people believe that a correct philosophy is found in the center. This is correct, but the center is not the middle point between two arbitrary points, such as 'The Left' and 'The Right'. A true philosophy exists but it has no relation to popular opinion. And the world has moved very far left! We are so wrapped up in legislation and gender pronouns that the center is 10,000 right miles away.

Further, the right answer has nothing to do with statistical averages. If 10,000 people believe in one thing and 1 person believes in another, the correct philosophy can just as easily be found with the 1 person. Perhaps it is more probably found with the 1 person, because those who are highly intelligent are most likely to have the answer. The average answer is typically wrong.

For example, let's say that you are on a planet and are being attacked by a race of vicious space reptiles. In response, the socialist government divides the planet of 1 million people into various groups to plot the specific coordinates for a precise nuclear launch that will kill the queen of the invaders. The correct answer is reached by a small group consisting of Nicola Tesla, Albert Einstein, Buckminster Fuller, Joe Campbell, Marie Curie, and Rinzalzaphone. Every other group got it wrong. Would you like the correct answer, or the average answer? You don't want the average answer because the average answer is not true. It means ending up in the belly of a giant reptile.

The ego mind feels safe in the center, and this is a typical herd mentality. People who are weak feel safer as part of a crowd. People who are strong are looking for the truth, in which case statistical averages are completely irrelevant. In fact, statistical averages are inverted when it comes to the truth. If everybody believes it, it's probably a lie. Find the experimental experts who know about these things. These are the people who have done things, not the people who have talked about doing things. Socialists mainly talk about making a good economy. Successful entrepreneurs go about building a good economy, in spite of the regulatory hurdles socialists place in their way.

The correct philosophy is known as Libertarianism. This is dead center. It advocates individual rights, individual autonomy, small government, a repeal of legislation and nonsense regulation, free markets, and low taxation². It ultimately culminates in a loose

² You don't see any of the high taxes anyway, so why do you insist on voting for them? The poor don't either. It mostly goes to secret projects that are used to manipulate the people.

planetary government that will resolve all of the problems the Socialists think their policies will resolve, such as third world hunger and environmentalism.

Big Government Globalism is the primary *cause* of third world hunger and climate change. Remember they allow the corporations to get away with everything, burn through money, and send most taxpayer money towards the military, which reinforces US hegemony and globalization. Remember how they bailed out the banks with your money? The tragedy was not the market crash, for no intelligent person keeps all their cash in banks (I write this during the Chinese banking crisis that is going to cripple the world). The tragedy was the bailout.

A correct political philosophy must be one that sustains overall planetary growth, allows citizens a fair platform so they can reap what they sow, and protects their rights against other individuals and groups. The Left have severely misplaced beliefs because they are focusing on giving people raises and benefits without correlating this with an increase in skills or duties. Rights and duties have to go hand in hand. If you give something to someone without them earning it, you are effectively robbing them of spiritual growth.

Thus we have a weak and cowardly population who feel that socialism and Big Government Globalism is a tool that can be used to make them feel safe through legal mechanisms, without actually taking responsibility for their actions. Because the reality is that causes have effects, and rights have responsibilities. This is a prime duality reflected in existence and in every single spiritual text. It's the most basic tenet of human existence, but one that the Left thinks it can override with ideological preferences.

The vast majority of entrepreneurs and geniuses are Libertarians. Look through the history books and autobiographies of the world's greatest minds. Overwhelmingly, you will find a deep resentment of the bureaucracy and inefficiency of government. You will also find an endorsement of a world government absent of nation states, but this is a world government that has nothing to do with the current agenda from the globalists. It's a loose government that strongly enforces individual libertarian rights as balanced against the rights of the planet.

It is obvious that only an international effort will be able to effectively combat climate change and world poverty. Though world hunger could also be resolved within 3 months if the International Government was any way inclined. \$30 Billion would resolve the issue but it's just not on the agenda. The more you vote for Socialism (Big Government Globalism), the more you increase the problem of climate change and third world hunger.

It's worth noting that creation and solving problems are fun and are part of life. The more you rely on a centralized organization to run your life for you, the more dissatisfied you will be. So don't be a coward, be like a Buddha, whose style of teaching revolved around courage and not meekness, as commonly believed. Jesus, Buddha, El Morya, and all the Zen Masters were all fighters and warriors. They had a fierceness and intensity about them.

The meekness and timidity thing is something that the Catholic Church and others invented so that the attendees would be more amenable to doing everything they said. Even Gandhi stated that it is better to fight than to live in cowardice, though he was referring to non-violent resistance.

Libertarianism offers you the chance to live in courage and to claim back your sovereignty and your life. This is not socialism. Everything is not guaranteed and risk free. If it was, it would not be life, but death. The joy of life is in defending your freedoms, enjoying your rights and responsibilities equally, and working hard to create something beautiful.

This is not possible under socialism, but is a certainty under the Libertarian model, where you only get rewarded in proportion to what you did. Analogous to how you can only reap the fruits of a garden in proportion to what you labored to plant.

Negative Socialistic Qualities

All the various spiritual traditions outline certain desirable and undesirable traits. The Left have a large amount of negative traits. It is these negative traits that cause them to vote and act the way that they do. Remember that people are emotional and their votes have no relationship with logic.

Their votes and preferences are due to emotional biases and their subjective method of interpreting reality. It is usually due to chakric imbalances and early childhood conditioning. A skilled psychologist is able to map certain conditions to certain political biases.

- **Fear** - Fundamentally, the socialist fears life and is afraid. This goes against the Buddhist teaching of fearlessness. Buddhism was founded on courage and right action. It was very much against passivity and turning the other cheek. People often forget that Buddha was poisoned and Jesus was crucified after taking on the Bankers (Jews) and the Catholic church (Pharisees). The

Leftarian wants life to be risk free and pre-approved by a centralized body. Of course, the joy in life stems partially from taking risks and not having everything guaranteed. The comfortable 9 - 5 life of a government worker is one of the most miserable and joy free existences in the universe, but they are 'safe'. Of course, all units of consciousness project their existing belief systems, so these government workers try to get everybody to be exactly like them.

- **Fear of Change** - Fear of change and fear of death are the same thing. A defining feature of lower consciousness is that death is the end, and that there is nothing worse than death. Because the socialist believes that its life finishes after death, there is nothing it won't do to survive, and this includes sacrificing its integrity and values. A trained spiritual practitioner views death as a mere transition where the benefits or the deficits accrue after leaving the physical body. There is no difference between changing house and changing body and nothing to fear, but the socialist is very much materially orientated instead of being value orientated. Due to this, they constantly compromise their values in return for safety and are unwilling to undergo change. Spiritual adepts and Libertarians like change and chaos because this results in innovation and advances. Otherwise, there is no real growth, just mindless repetition and consumerism. A problem can only be resolved by changing your attitude towards it. More government and higher taxes is just doubling down on the same solution, though in this case the solution *is* the problem.
- **Dependency** - The socialist mindset is of a dependent and parasitic nature. It presupposes that a large government is needed to protect from the many imagined terrors. The socialist has not realized that it creates its own reality via its thoughts and emotions, so it cannot imagine a life without a large government telling it what to do. Yogis, Tsaosts, and Sages do not exactly make a philosophy of autonomy or sovereignty, because it is so natural to them. They are automatically sovereign with a reduction of technology, long periods of fasting, and general independence from mental, emotional, and physical slavery. The more intelligent the mind, the less the dependency on modern innovations and large organizational bureaucracies.
- **Roboticism** - Most of those within the liberal and socialist demographic do not exercise creativity or independent thought. They like a centralized authority to tell them what to think. Most of them do not actually think, they merely repeat. They believe that turning on the television and believing what it tells them is a means of 'staying informed'. Repeating what you hear without objective analysis is simply a form of gossip. This was very much evident during the Certificate of Vaccine ID 2019 (COVID19) scamdemic, with lots of gossip but little actual blowback from a tangible disease. It's also worth noting that most of this demographic have little real life experience in martial arts, on farms, in entrepreneurship, or in activities where

your theories are subject to immediate testing and blowback. They are usually intellectuals or government workers that operate in the realm of wonderful sounding ideas, but never in the *testing and objective analysis* of the outcome of those ideas.

- **Linear Thinking** - Socialists do not actually understand that the entire globe is one organism, and that includes rich people. By robbing one group and giving to another, you encourage that demographic not to work, which means less employment overall. And because socialistic programs are only capable of helping a particular demographic out through massive, inefficient expenditure, the end result is a mass decrease in overall resources, which sinks the entire ship. When the ship starts to sink, they start to blame the people they stole from.
- **Lack Of Observation** - If Leftarians were actually aware of the outcome of their actions, they would change their actions. But they do not observe, they merely repeat. This is what most people do daily, stuck in an infinite karmic loop. It is obvious that the government is completely corrupt and that giving them more money is foolish. Moreover, their budgets need to be slashed in half and the military/intelligence agencies/secret projects completely removed. Clearly, the biggest terrorists in the world are the Federal Reserve and the US Government. They have caused more pain, misery, and suffering than any other organization by a huge stretch, with the possible exception of the Catholic Church.

Ultimately, all societal/political problems are resolved through an upgrade in consciousness. This includes spiritual processes, martial arts, meditation, yoga, etc. The prime first step is an eradication of government in its existing format. Once the Big Government Globalist organization stops creating these problems, we can heal the planet.

This will result in a fairer distribution of resources and certain groups will be assisted. This will be on a voluntary and small scale basis because it is the nature of people, especially high consciousness people, to help those in need. This is impossible to do right now because, even if you manage to make money despite all the government regulations, and socialistic hurdles, you are still taxed on charitable donations in the USA. It's corrupt on so many levels. High net worth individuals love sharing their wealth, provided they are allowed to share it on their own terms, this group being particularly sovereign in nature. It's the ultra rich that are the real parasites.

In order to gain wealth, you have to be sharp, focused, communicative, dedicated, disciplined and confident. These are all admirable qualities as per multiple spiritual traditions. There is nothing holy or noble about poverty, sickness, and disease. And if you help these

demographics without upgrading their consciousness, you are not actually helping them at all. Food provides them the *capability* to further their spiritual development, the purpose of life on Earth.

Rinzalzaphone

Arguments Against Libertarianism

What about roads and schools and other essential elements?

All of these essential elements are hundreds of years behind where they should be, thanks to the government. The education system has been obsolete for decades and is actively harmful.

1. The US defense budget is \$722 Billion in 2022. Cut that down to 0, and you have freed up quite a lot of resources. That alone takes care of the road system and school system, though there are better things to spend it on.
2. For every dollar given to the government, 20 - 25 cents is wasted on bureaucracy and administration; not including direct mismanagement or tracking/surveillance/terrorist/secret projects that the citizens do not want. That's about \$4 Trillion dollars that would be right in the pockets of taxpayers, i.e. you³. This is aside from the obvious mismanagement of where they actually send the remaining funds (such as on a pointless war or a mass spying operation). They have budgets to fill. Similar to how 90 cents of every dollar given to Trocaire was wasted aside from other scandals (such as theft of the remaining funds). Of course, it was hard at the time to tell socialists that giving money to Trocaire was foolish, in the same way that giving money to the government is foolish. Because you can't argue with people who have misplaced emotions. To be a socialist means to have misplaced emotions and a limited understanding of the greater interconnectedness of all things. By spending the money of others inefficiently, you will create more poverty. You also can't argue with fear, as evidenced with the pointless C19 vaccine scandemic that we now know was completely ineffective; apart from damaging the human body, making Pfizer a ton of cash, and putting a gigantic burden on people for no real reason.
3. The "Yes We Can" statement by Barack Obama equally applies with no government at all. With all the extra resources at your disposal, are you that disempowered that you can't work with others to build a road system and a local schooling system? You

³ <https://reason.com/2022/08/18/how-to-waste-1-trillion/>, <https://www.cagw.org/reporting/pig-book>, <https://blog.independent.org/2022/07/21/demise-failed-wasteful-federal-department-education/>, https://www.openthebooks.com/assets/1/6/6_Wheres_The_Pork_v5.pdf, <https://www.laprogressive.com/defense/wasteful-government-spending>,

do realize that the same people who work building the roads and the schools still exist, and the only difference is that there is no corrupt parasitic intermediary managing them at the moment, the problem being organizational?

4. The current school system in the USA is terrible and is a huge waste of resources. Children can be taught yoga, meditation, and mindfulness at a young age instead of learning pointless information along with US propaganda. School shootings are also a cosmic sign not to put your children into these prison camps, but humans are not quick to grasp God's obvious messages.
5. We should be terraforming Mars, not worrying about the roads. With the level of technology (both disclosed and undisclosed) at our disposal, laying down concrete should be a breeze.

What about the police? The world would fall apart without the police.

Again, this is a typical fantasy. There is no boogie man out to get you aside from the International Government and their bankers and military allies. A strong lock, better awareness, and basic security protocols, will do you a lot better than relying on the police. Even now. An empowered individual will always look to his/her own personal security first, with community safeguards second.

Jail, or course, breeds more criminals and criminal behavior, as designed by the government. 50% of the inmates in the USA are reputed to be innocent. Again, why vote for big government when it does the exact opposite of what you want it to do, while you pay a premium for it? Individuals who go to jail come out worse than when they went in, thus reinforcing crime when they get out. By design, the jailing system is meant to increase criminality, while making profits for the owners.

You are perfectly safe and the police are often little more than hired goons. Community watches can be set up along with numerous other safety precautions. It's very important to connect the dots and see the connections.

Crime in major US cities is due to poverty and single parents, which is a direct result of government policy which always serves to create poverty. With all the trillions of dollars of savings from eliminating parasitic governments, I'd be all for helping single mothers and efficiently serving disadvantaged communities, who certainly have an extremely difficult time (here in Puerto Vallarta, in the

USA, and across the world)⁴. Is it not strange that with the giant military and intelligence spending in the US, local crime cannot be stopped? Of course it can't, because it's not supposed to be.

Of course, the hilarious irony of it all as you are in the exact situation right now as you would be with absolutely no expensive police force. You still have to decide what to do if a person is intent on hurting you, and that all comes down to individual responsibility. It would not turn into Gotham city. As always, things would simply adapt and localized forces would form if there was an increase in crime. The only thing you need to police is the irrational fear, uncertainty, and doubt in your mind. Always, better dead than cowardly.

Crime is a symptom of an impoverished and corrupted society, and would decrease as society heals. But to deal with murders and serious crimes, there are thousands of other options. Some of the technology behind the giant spying operations through satellites, phones, and computers could simply be turned towards things that are of actual use to the planet, such as the rapid capture of actual criminals. An armed citizenry is also a safe and effective way to reduce crime. Switzerland continues to have ultra low crime rates, low rates of homicide, and low rates of suicide.

How would society function without a functional medical system?

Pretty poorly; as evidenced by how poorly it is currently functioning with no real medical system. Again, the fact the hundreds of thousands of Americans are addicted to opiates is not by accident, but by design. The medical system is 100% designed to help sick people get more sick, and it does an excellent job in this regard. It has no interest in making sure you get better.

This also feeds into the crime. Without the medical industry creating drug addicts, there would be far less crime. You might note that all of the giant socialist plans to reduce crime, increase health, and improve quality of life, always have the exact opposite purpose. But the negative effects are all interconnected.

Obviously, the medical system in its existing format has *some* relevance. But the idea of 'free' healthcare is preposterous and people are mentally manipulated into getting sick and their consciousness has become 'medicalized'. This means that they become

⁴ A large percentage of inmates in the USA come from fatherless homes and there is significant evidence supporting that tackling the societal issue could prevent it. However, the fact that a large percentage of inmates are also innocent could somewhat skew this finding.

programmed into medical paradigms where it becomes normal to be on medication for prolonged periods or to get sick and decay in your old age, riddled with some sort of disease.

Preventative medicine in combination with healthy lifestyle practices, meditation, and a reduction in the toxic media will see people live to 100 and beyond, in perfect health. The trick lies in avoiding the hospital as much as possible, because it helps to make you sick. Ask any nurse - if you want to get sick, visit a hospital. Keep your mind strong and do not entertain the idea of sickness, and engage in positive practices with zeal. Once the mind starts to darken or once grief hits the heart, disease sets in quite rapidly. There is a very strong correlation between the thoughts you entertain and the manifestation of disease. So don't buy into the sickness paradigm and don't buy into the conventional medical system. Health is free, and always has been, until the pharmaceutical industry was invented.

Also, as a double whammy, the 'free' medical system that helps to keep people extremely sick costs billions in taxpayer money. Another victory for the Left. The idea of a 'free' medical system would be laughed at in all historical societies due to its silly and cowardly nature. The irony in this slavery based system is that US citizens don't actually have a choice in their own health. With the socialization of healthcare, most are forced to get it through their employer. Due to this monopoly (the Left says they want to fight monopolies, not recognizing that a centralized government is the biggest monopoly of all), healthcare prices are sky high. Viola! You now cannot *afford* health, due to brainwashing, even though health is 100% free, and always has been. Once you don't buy into the medicine system, you'll be in perfect health.

What about disadvantaged groups? The main reason I'm a socialist is because I want to help certain demographics that are discriminated against?

Indeed, both Left, Right, and Center want to help discriminated groups. The difference is in how you go about helping them. The Left vote for politicians because said politicians promise to help certain market segments. But all that means is that you are stealing money from somewhere else in order to (usually artificially and inorganically) raise another group. And they always spend the funds hyper inefficiently, meaning that this kind of 'altruism' ultimately collapses the entire economy.

Another item to take into account is that, of the tax money that the Liberal sends to the government to take care of a certain group and ensure certain functions, only a small amount goes into that particular activity. So for every dollar spent, about 50% is wasted, burning up the people's good works. Some small amount gets sent to essential functions, let's say 25%.

Another 25% gets sent to military, deep research, propaganda, foreign terrorism operations, and civilian spying projects that are secret in nature and undisclosed. In other words, your altruism and good intentions are counterbalanced by the fact that you are also supporting war and other nefarious activities. Because the government is doing bad things with your money, even if you believe they are using it effectively. You are killing the very people you claim to be helping. They do say the road to hell is paved with good intentions.

The Libertarian approach is far more effective. Remember that the very nature of government is that about 50% of every dollar sent is simply lost due to either mismanagement or senseless bureaucracy. That's about \$28,000 per person, per year, based on a \$10 Trillion savings, not including indirect savings such as not having to deal with a sea of needless red tape and the considerable stress associated with dealing with a large government.

Why not raise everyone, together, instead of trying to divide and segregate everything? Identity politics and trying to assign special privileges to one group is the ultimate form of discrimination. And it's precisely what Socialism is built upon. Socialism is the ultimate form of elitism. It also ignores the central fact of human existence; that building yourself up and solving problems on an individual basis is an essential growth factor. Responsibilities and rights have to go hand in hand, and stealing from one group and giving to another merely angers one group, and disempowers the other.

Ultimately, only the Central Libertarian model can tackle the very root of the problem, which is Globalism. These are the ones destroying the planet, instigating wars, poisoning the food, water, and air, manipulating the population, and implementing infertility-via-vaccines⁵ policies that have terrible health consequences. Planetary problems are all by globalist design. Remember that only a large and powerful government can instigate war, the ultimate form of terror. The same applies for the mass spying operations on the population, as documented by Wikileaks. The very worst atrocities are committed by large, socialistic governments. Freedom lies in small government and Libertarian principles, as outlined by the US constitution and the fact that the founding fathers were Libertarians who were fearful of large government invading on individual liberties. Time has proven them correct.

But rich people and money are the root of all evil?

⁵ Keep track of fertility rates over the next 10 years. People will put all sorts of reasons for it, but it's due to C19 vaccines. They were designed for planetary depopulation.

Ignorance is the root of all evil. Money is simply a tool that can be used effectively or ineffectively. Most rich people are far more integrated and of a higher consciousness than impoverished people. They are often very focused, with a code of ethics, and always seeking to improve.

Entrepreneurs that create a business that employ more than 30 people can be looked upon as spiritual gurus in their own right. It's fashionable to be jealous and frustrated with rich people, because it's the easier path and allows people to not take responsibility for their own shortcomings. It's funny that most employees have a completely different philosophy when they become employers.

The super rich are a different matter entirely. The top 2,000 are certainly evil and they need to be removed. This is done through a low/no government system and a removal of the military and spy complex, coupled with new healthcare, financial, and media systems that are not in their control. The US Dollar is of course the cause of many problems as it's owned by the 2,000, which is why we are replacing it with cryptocurrency.

You can have money, as long as you use it in tandem with your spiritual growth. In fact, it is meant to increase proportional to spiritual growth as you use it to help others and to further your own development. It's a win-win, as long as you don't get overly attached to the material.

Your arguments and positions are simple. It's more complicated than you are making out.

This is a politicians favorite and a typical ego level argument. It's not more complicated than this, at all. The ego loves to think things are complicated when things are very simple. You pay money to a large centralized organization known as the Globalist Big Government, in this case the US government. If you don't pay money, you get thrown in jail for not being 'a civilized citizen'.

So unless you fund a terrorist warmongering agency, you are considered a 'tax cheat'. This is a form of psychological manipulation indicating that, somehow, you are cheating if you keep the money you have earned instead of giving it to terrorists. The government has spent a lot of your money developing these deep psychological tactics in order to program you and others to believe certain things.

This entity has a proven track record of using your money to fund wars you don't want, to fund massive propaganda operations, to pollute the water and air, to divide the population with meaningless gender pronoun issues, and to track all of your online transactions in a vast privacy scandal. The money is wasted and the end result is that they print more of it, further devaluing the existing supply.

The situation could not be more easy and obvious, it's only the ego that likes to pretend things are very complicated and that we need a giant militarized government to tackle giant problems. The ego, fundamentally speaking, is cowardly in nature and does not want the truth, so it likes to say that things are very complicated when they are simple and obvious.

Summary

As noted by technology genius Steve Jobs, you have to define the problem correctly to reach resolution. The Left have not defined the problem correctly. They do not see that there is a ruling globalist elite that uses governmental policy as a chief aim of poisoning the food supply, poisoning the water supply, poisoning the air, and ensuring that people are divided, poor, and in substandard health and mental wellbeing.

Due to this misinterpretation of the real problem, they thus put forward erroneous solutions, which include minor changes within the corrupted architecture. This might include pointless procedures such as socialized health care, increased tracking of individuals, changes in monetary policy, smaller classroom sizes, more government, more regulation, the list goes on and one.

Given that the true problem is a globalist organization that uses big government to oppress people, the correct solution is a Libertarian approach that encourages low taxes and lower regulation/red tape. Military, spying, and secret secret expenditure are to be, if not completely eradicated, cut down by 75% or more. In the words of Milton Freedom, the government is supposed to be a referee, not an active player. It has turned into a true cancer that survives on the labor of the people, to serve only its own ends.

Taxation of an individual is not to exceed 25% and all of the corrupt and hidden taxation methods are to be obliterated. The rights of the individual (for both anti-abortion and anti-vaccine) is to be upheld and additions to the existing constitution will need to be instigated, to include digital privacy. Other than this, only a complete systemic meltdown can remove the existing corrupt architecture. And it will happen without unified action.

Remember, the entire Left vs Right issue was carefully created and enforced by the Globalists after Ron Paul put forward the Libertarian position in 2012, which had the effect of unifying large members of the population against their obvious agenda. Since

2012, the polarization has increased because the Globalists need a divided population to continue their operations. We have never been as fragmented, and this is due to the media and their owners.

So don't choose Left, or Right, which is a trap. Choose the middle, the Libertarian option, which grants the largest degree of individual freedom.

It's the only option where you get to vote for your own freedom instead of the pockets of the bankers, politicians, and warmongering globalists.

Rinzalzaphone

Supporting Literature & Recommended Reading

- 1984 - George Orwell
- Foundation - Isaac Asimov
- The Foundations of Buddhism - Marlyn Roerich
- Agni Yoga - Marlyn Roerich
- The Christ Letters - The Recorder
- Maharishi Mahesh Yogi and Conservative Thought - Brad Fregger
- The Science of Being And Art of Living - Maharishi Mahesh Yogi
- 12 Rules For Life - Dr. Jordan Peterson
- Liberty Defined: 50 Essential Issues That Affect Our Freedom - Ron Paul
- The Revolution: A Manifesto - Ron Paul
- End the Fed - Ron Paul
- The Mortgage Explained - Daedalus
- The Protocols Of The Learned Elders Of Zion

Websites

- <https://reason.com/2022/08/18/how-to-waste-1-trillion/>
- <https://www.cagw.org/reporting/pig-book>
- <https://blog.independent.org/2022/07/21/demise-failed-wasteful-federal-department-education/>
- https://www.openthebooks.com/assets/1/6/6_Wheres_The_Pork_v5.pdf
- <https://www.laprogressive.com/defense/wasteful-government-spending>

Signed:

Rinzalzaphone

Rinzalzaphone